DoS Attacks and Network Defenses

CS155 Computer and Network Security
Notation: On Path Attacker
Notation: Off Path Attacker
No security guarantees

Confidentiality — Ethernet, IP, UDP, and TCP do not provide any confidentiality. All traffic is in cleartext.

On-path attacker can do anything. ARP and BGP attacks allow an off-path attacker to become on-path and MITM connections.

Integrity — No guarantees that attacker hasn’t modified traffic. Ethernet, IP and UDP have no protection against spoofed packets. TCP provides weak guarantee of source authentication.

Availability — Attackers can attempt to inject RST packets. More today.
Assume network is malicious

The network is out to get you.

Solution: Always use TLS if you want any protection against large-scale eavesdropping (e.g., intelligence agencies), or guarantee that data hasn’t been modified or corrupted by an on-path attacker.

Note! HTTPS and TLS aren’t just for sensitive material! There have been attacks where malicious Javascript or malware is injected into websites.
Building a network protocol

Don’t build network proto from scratch
- Never roll your own crypto
- Many opportunities to mess up parsing network packets

gRPC: http2 + TLS 1.3 RPC framework
- Safe parsing in 11 languages
- Exceptionally efficient
- Streaming/Sync/Async
- TLS-based authentication

Or, REST on top of HTTP/2 + TLS 1.3

```protobuf
syntax = "proto3";

package calc;

message AddRequest {
  int32 n1 = 1;
  int32 n2 = 2;
}

message AddReply {
  int64 res = 1;
}

service Calculator {
  rpc Add(AddRequest) returns (AddReply) {}
  rpc Subtract(SubRequest) returns (SubReply) {}
  rpc Multiply(MultRequest) returns (MultReply) {}
  rpc Divide(DivideRequest) returns (DivideReply) {}
}
```
Denial of Service Attacks

**Goal:** take large service/network/org offline by overwhelming it with network traffic such that they can’t process real requests

**How:** find mechanism where attacker doesn’t spend a lot of effort, but requests are difficult/expensive for victim to process
Types of Attacks

**DoS Bug**: design flaw that allows one machine to disrupt a service. Generally a protocol asymmetry, e.g., easy to send request, difficult to create response. Or requires server state.

**DoS Flood**: control a large number of requests from a botnet or other machines you control
DoS Opportunities at Every Layer

**Link Layer:** send too much traffic for switches/routers to handle

**TCP/UDP:** require servers to maintain large number of concurrent connections or state

**Application Layer:** require servers to perform expensive queries or cryptographic operations
TCP Handshake

**SYN:** $SN_C \leftarrow \text{rand}_C$

$AN_C \leftarrow 0$

**SYN/ACK:** $SN_S \leftarrow \text{rand}_S$

$AN_S \leftarrow SN_C$

**ACK:** $SN \leftarrow SN_C$

$AN \leftarrow SN_S$

- Listening
- Wait
- Established

**Store SN_C, SN_S**
SYN Floods
Core Problem

**Problem:** server commits resources (memory) before confirming identify of the client (when client responds)

**Bad Solution:**
- Increase backlog queue size
- Decrease timeout

**Real Solution:** Avoid state until 3-way handshake completes
**SYN Cookies**

**Idea:** Instead of storing $SN_c$ and $SN_s$... send a cookie back to the client.

$L = \text{MAC}_{\text{key}} (\text{SAddr}, \text{SPort}, \text{DAddr}, \text{DPort}, SN_c, T)$

key: picked at random during boot

$T = 5$-bit counter incremented every 64 secs.

$SN_s = (T \ || \ mss \ || \ L)$

Honest client sends ACK ($AN=SN_s$, $SN=SN_c+1$)

Server allocates space for socket only if valid SNs

Server does not save state
(loses TCP options)
Amplification Attacks

Services that respond to a single (small) UDP packet with a large UDP packet can be used to amplify DOS attacks

Attacker forges packet and sets source IP to victim’s IP address. When service responds, it sends large amount of data to the spoofed victim

The attacker needs a large number of these services to amplify packets. Otherwise, the victim could just drop the packets from the small number of hosts
Common UDP Amplifiers

**DNS:** ANY query returns *all* records server has about a domain

**NTP:** MONLIST returns list of last 600 clients who asked for the time recently

**DNS:** Do not have recursive resolvers on the public Internet.

**NTP:** Do not respond to commands like MONLIST

Both are considered misconfigurations today, but often 100Ks of misconfigured hosts on the public Internet
Amplification Attacks

2013: DDoS attack generated 300 Gbps (DNS)
- 31,000 misconfigured open DNS resolvers, each at 10 Mbps
- Source: 3 networks that allowed IP spoofing

2014: 400 Gbps DDoS attacked used 4,500 NTP servers
Cyberattack Knocks Out Access to Websites

Popular sites such as Twitter, Netflix and PayPal were unreachable for part of the day.
“We are still working on analyzing the data but the estimate at the time of this report is up to 100,000 malicious endpoints. [...] There have been some reports of a magnitude in the 1.2 Tbps range; at this time we are unable to verify that claim.”
A Botnet of IoT Devices

Bot Master → 200K IoT devices → GRE, HTTP, TLS → OVH/Dyn/Krebs

Not Amplification.
Flood with SYN, ACK, UDP, and GRE packets
The Mirai Malware

**Bot master** will issue commands to scan or start an attack

**Attack Command:**
- Action (e.g., START, STOP)
- Target IP(s)
- Attack Type (e.g., GRE, DNS, TCP)
- Attack Duration
What made Mirai Successful?

The Mirai malware is (astoundingly) badly written. It uses no new or complex techniques.

Mirai was successful because:

1. IoT security bar is very low
2. Attack simplicity enabled the malware to compromise heterogeneous hardware
3. Stateless scanning was an improvement over prior versions
## Password Guessing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Password</th>
<th>Device Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>123456</td>
<td>ACTi IP Camera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anko</td>
<td>ANKO Products DVR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pass</td>
<td>Axis IP Camera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>888888</td>
<td>Dahua DVR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>666666</td>
<td>Dahua DVR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vizxv</td>
<td>Dahua IP Camera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7ujMko0vixv</td>
<td>Dahua IP Camera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7ujMko0admin</td>
<td>Dahua IP Camera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>666666</td>
<td>Dahua IP Camera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dreambox</td>
<td>Dreambox TV Receiver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>juantech</td>
<td>Guangzhou Juan Optical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xc3511</td>
<td>H.264 Chinese DVR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OXhlwSG8</td>
<td>HiSilicon IP Camera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cat1029</td>
<td>HiSilicon IP Camera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hi3518</td>
<td>HiSilicon IP Camera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>klv123</td>
<td>HiSilicon IP Camera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>klv1234</td>
<td>HiSilicon IP Camera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>jvbzd</td>
<td>HiSilicon IP Camera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>admin</td>
<td>IPX-DDK Network Camera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>system</td>
<td>IQinVision Cameras</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meinsm</td>
<td>Mobotix Network Camera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54321</td>
<td>Packet8 VOIP Phone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0000000</td>
<td>Panasonic Printer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>realtek</td>
<td>RealTek Routers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11111111</td>
<td>Samsung IP Camera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xmhdpC</td>
<td>Shenzhen Anran Camera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smcadmin</td>
<td>SMC Routers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ikwb</td>
<td>Toshiba Network Camera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ubnt</td>
<td>Ubiquiti AirOS Router</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>supervisor</td>
<td>VideoIQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;none&gt;</td>
<td>Vivotek IP Camera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1111</td>
<td>Xerox Printer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zte521</td>
<td>ZTE Router</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1234</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12345</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>admin1234</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>default</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fucker</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>guest</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>password</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>root</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>service</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>support</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>support</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tech</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>user</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zlxX</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
“The magnitude of the attacks seen during the final week were significantly larger than the majority of attacks Akamai sees on a regular basis. [...] In fact, while the attack on September 20 was the largest attack ever mitigated by Akamai, the attack on September 22 would have qualified for the record at any other time, peaking at 555 Gbps.”

Source: 2017 Akamai State of the Internet
# Booter Services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan</th>
<th>Price</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Time per boot</th>
<th>Concurrents</th>
<th>Total network</th>
<th>Tools</th>
<th>Support</th>
<th>Buy with PayPal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Month Gold</td>
<td>$23.99</td>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>2400 sec</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>220 Gbps</td>
<td>Included</td>
<td>24/7</td>
<td><img src="bitcoin-icon.png" alt="Buy with Paypal" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Month Diamond</td>
<td>$34.99</td>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>3600 sec</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>220 Gbps</td>
<td>Included</td>
<td>24/7</td>
<td><img src="bitcoin-icon.png" alt="Buy with Paypal" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime Bronze</td>
<td>$44.99</td>
<td>10 years</td>
<td>600 sec</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>220 Gbps</td>
<td>Included</td>
<td>24/7</td>
<td><img src="bitcoin-icon.png" alt="Buy with Paypal" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Memcache:

retrieve large record

The server responds by firing back as much as 50,000 times the data it received.

Exist both a UDP and TCP version. Only works for UDP! TCP would require a three-way handshake and server would realize IP had been spoofed.
Google Project Shield

DDoS Attacks are often used to censor content. In the case of Mirai, Brian Kreb’s blog was under attack.

Google Project shield uses Google bandwidth to shield vulnerable websites (e.g., news, blogs, human rights orgs)
Moving Up Stack: GET Floods

Command bot army to:
* Complete real TCP connection
* Complete TLS Handshake
* GET large image or other content

Will bypass flood protections…. but attacker can no longer use random source IPs

Victim site can block or rate limit bots
Github Attacks

1.35 Tbps attack against Github caused by JS injected into web requests

The Chinese government was widely suspected to be behind the attack

More reason that you should always use HTTPS!
Ingress Filtering

- Big problem: DDoS with spoofed source IPs

   ![Diagram with computer, ISP, and Internet]

   - Ingress filtering policy: ISP only forwards packets with legitimate source IP (see also SAVE protocol)
Ingress Filtering

All ISPs need to do this — requires global coordination
- If 10% of networks don’t implement, there’s no defense
- No incentive for an ISP to implement — doesn’t affect them

As of 2017 (from CAIDA):
- 33% of autonomous systems allow spoofing
- 23% of announced IP address space allow spoofing

2013 300 Gbps attack sent attack traffic from only 3 networks
Client Puzzles

Idea: What if we force every client to do moderate amount of work for every connection they make?

Example:
1) Server Sends: C
2) Client: find $X \mid \text{LSB}_n(\text{SHA1}(C \ || \ X)) = 0^n$

Assumption:
Puzzle takes $2^n$ for the client to compute (0.3 s on 1Ghz core)
Solution is trivial for server to check (single SHA-1 hash)
Client Puzzles

Not frequently used in the real world

Benefits:
- Can change $n$ based on amount of attack traffic

Limitations:
- Requires changes to both protocols, clients, and servers
- Hurts low power legitimate clients during attack (e.g., phones)
Network Defenses
Local Services

**Review:** Popular TCP and UDP services live on standardized ports. HTTPS servers listen on TCP/443. SSH on TCP/22.

Some services you don’t want listening on the public Internet.

**Recursive DNS Resolvers:** allows attackers to mount DDoS attacks

**Windows File Sharing:** historically full of vulnerabilities. What if a local machine doesn’t have a secure password on it?
Port Scanning

Send a SYN or application-specific UDP packet to a port to see if any service is listening.

**Vertical Scan:** Try large number of ports on a single host. Typically use Nmap.

**Horizontal Scan:** Try a single port on a large number of hosts. Typically ZMap.
Firewalls

Separate local area network (LAN) from the Internet. Only allow some traffic to transit.

Sometimes rules on a router. Sometimes a standalone device.
Basic Packet Filtering

Uses transport and IP layer information only
- IP Source Address, Destination Address
- Protocol (TCP, UDP, ICMP, etc.)
- TCP and UDP source and destination ports

Examples:
- “Do not allow external hosts to connect to Windows File Sharing”
  -> DROP ALL INBOUND PACKETS TO TCP PORT 445
What’s the rule?

What if you have a network with lots of servers but only want outsiders to be able to access a web server?

DROP ALL INBOUND PACKETS IF DEST PORT != 80

All outbound connections also have a source port! Their responses will be blocked!
IANA Port Numbering

System or Well-Known Ports [1,1023]:
   Common services, e.g., HTTP -> 80, SSH -> 22
User or registered ports [1024, 49151]
   Less well-known services
Ephemeral/Dynamic/Private Ports [49152, 65535]
   Short lived connections
Stateful Filtering

Firewall tracks outgoing connections and allows associated inbound traffic back through

1. Client opens channel to server; tells server its port number. The ACK bit is not set while establishing the connection but will be set on the remaining packets.

2. Server acknowledges.
Network Address Translation (NAT)

NATs map between two different address spaces. Most home routers are NATs and firewalls.

Private Subnets
- 10.0.0.0 – 10.255.255.255
- 172.16.0.0 – 172.31.255.255
- 192.168.0.0 – 192.168.255.255
Local vs. Network Firewall

Firewalls we’ve discussed so far have all been network firewalls. Most have lived at the edge of the organization.

Firewalls also run on individual hosts. Linux servers use `iptables`.

Typically have a combination of network and host firewalls

```
sudo iptables -A INPUT -m conntrack --ctstate ESTABLISHED,RELATED -j ACCEPT

sudo iptables -A INPUT -p tcp --dport 22 -m conntrack --ctstate NEW,ESTABLISHED -j ACCEPT
```
Application Layer Filtering

Enforce protocol-specific policies:
- Virus scanning for SMTP
  - Need to understand protocol, MIME encoding, ZIP files, etc
- Look for SQL injection attacks in HTTP POSTs
Outbound Too!

Organizations will often inspect outbound traffic as well

- Block access to sites with known malicious behavior
- Prevent exfiltrating data
- Block services like bit torrent

Be careful on enterprise networks! Sometimes companies will even install their own root certificates on employee workstations to monitor TLS traffic.
Intrusion Detection Systems (IDS)

Software/device to monitor network traffic for attacks or policy violations.

Violations are reported to a central security information and event management (SIEM) system where analysts can later investigate.

**Signature Detection:** maintains long list of traffic patterns (rules) associated with attacks.

**Anomaly Detection:** attempts to learn normal behavior and report deviations.
Open Source IDS

Three Major Open Source IDS (and a tremendous number of commercial products)

Snort
Bro Zeek
Suricata
Example Snort Rule

```
alert icmp 192.168.1.10 any -> any any
(msg: "ICMP Attempt Attack"; sid:1000005)
```
Remote Access
Virtual Private Networks (VPNs)

**Problem:** How do you provide secure communication for non-TLS protocols across the public Internet?

VPNs create a fake shared network on which traffic is encrypted.

Two Broad Types:
- Remote client (e.g., traveler with laptop) to corporate network
- Connect two remote networks across Internet
IPSec

Several VPN protocols exist (PPTP, L2TP, IPsec, OpenVPN). Most popular is IPsec. OpenVPN is open source.
Cisco AnyConnect

Stanford and many other organizations use Cisco AnyConnect

Encapsulates traffic in TLS! Initial handshake uses normal TCP-based TLS for initial handshake and then DTLS (UDP-based TLS) to transport data
VPNs support the idea of having a secure internal network and untrusted public Internet. Unfortunately, attacker has a ton of access once the network perimeter is breached.

Unfortunately, internal networks aren’t *that* secure. Computers are compromised all the time and attackers have free rein.
Google: assume internal network is also out to get you. Remove privileged intranet and put all corporate applications on the Internet.

Access depends solely on device and user credentials, regardless of a user’s network location

Protect applications, not the network